1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)			
2				
3	Chief, Criminal Division			
4	EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 722) Assistant United States Attorney			
5	150 Almaden Boulevard			
6	San Jose, California 95113			
7	Telephone: (408) 535-5079 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 Email: Eumi.Choi@usdoj.gov			
8	Attorneys for the United States of America			
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 07-00787 JW	
14	Plaintiff,	{	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
15	V.)	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM FEBRUARY 7, 2008 TO FEBRUARY 25,	
16	CARLOS CAGUIMBAL,)	2008 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §	
17	Defendant.)	3161(h)(8)(A),(B))	
18				
19				
20	On January 24, 2008, the parties appeared for a hearing before the Honorable Howard R			
21	Lloyd. At that time, defendant Caguimbal made his initial appearance on a judicial summons			
22	issued upon the indictment of defendant. Based upon the request of the parties, the Court set the			
23	matter for a status hearing on February 11, 2008, before Judge Ware. The parties are now			
24	requesting that the February 11, 2008 hearing be continued until February 25, 2008 to allow			
25	counsel for defendant reasonable time for effective preparation, and to allow the parties to			
26	explore the possibility of an early disposition.			

The United States hereby submits this written request for an order finding that the time between February 11, 2008 and February 25, 2008, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18

26

27

28

1	U.S.C. § 3161, in that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best			
2	interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to			
3	grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time			
4	necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C.			
5	§ 3161(h)(8)(B).			
6				
7	DATED: February 6, 2008	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney		
8		Office States Attorney		
9		EUMI L. CHOI		
10		Assistant United States Attorney		
11		/s/		
12		MANUEL U. ARAUJO Attorney for Defendant		
13		·		
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20 21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 5:07-cr-00787-JW Document 7 Filed 02/06/2008 Page 2 of 3

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between February 11, 2008 and February 25, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

JAMES WARE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE